

THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF PURE SPINORS IN SOME SECTORS OF PARTICLE PHYSICS

Paolo Budinich

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics,
Trieste, Italy

E-mail: budinich@ictp.trieste.it; fit@ictp.trieste.it

Abstract

It is shown how, the spinor field equation in ten dimensional space-time: $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, restricted to ordinary space-time $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, identifies with Cartan's equation in momentum space $P = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, after interpreting the first four moments as Poincaré translations: $P_\mu \rightarrow i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$. Adopting the geometry of “simple” spinors discovered by É. Cartan [1] (renamed “pure” by Chevalley [2]) a number of results, relevant for the physics of fermions are found. Among these, several derive naturally from Clifford algebras and from the division algebras with which they are correlated. Precisely the $U(1)$, at the origin of charges, derive from complex numbers, explaining also the origin of the charged-neutron fermion doublets, often present in nature. The internal symmetry $SU(3)$ derives from the isomorphism $\text{Spin}(1,9) \simeq SL(2, \mathfrak{o})$ where $\mathfrak{o}$ stands for octonions (the isomorphism is meant restricted to the Lie algebra). “Dimensional reduction” in this approach consists in reducing to one half the dimensions of spinor space and to reduce by two the ones of momentum space and consequently decoupling the equations of motions. In this way $SU(2)$ isotopic spin symmetry is found for the nucleon doublet interacting with the pseudoscalar pion while the electroweak model naturally emerges with $SU(2)_L$ groups of symmetry. Both $SU(2)$ derive from quaternions since in fact $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,7) = H(8)$ where H stands for quaternions. However $SU(2)$ isospin does not appear as the covering of $SO(3)$ but it derives from reflections in spinor space (which applies also to $SU(3)$ flavour), suggesting then the redundancy of the extra dimensions when extending ordinary space-time to the ten dimensional one: $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$. Dimensional reduction may also explain the origin of the 3 families of baryons and of leptons again originating from quaternions, which also appear at the origin of the space-time lorentzian signature. The model foresees also the existence of 3 families of chargeless leptons: Majorana and Weyl spinor; it could then possibly explain the origin of dark matter.

From “simplicity” instead one may derive the geometrical structure of the momentum space where the physics of fermions is formulated. In fact if, following Cartan, ordinary vectors are bilinear in simple spinors, the momentum space results compact and it consists of spheres imbedded in each other, where the quantized theory will be free from ultra-violet divergences. Furthermore, the spheres define invariant masses increasing with the dimensions of the spaces and of the multiplets. Geometrical arguments are given on why also the charges (from electric to strong) should increase with dimensions. The possible relevance of spinor geometry and of simplicity for several other subjects as boson field equations, strings and superstrings are mentioned.

1 INTRODUCTION

In order to interpret the so-called internal symmetry phenomena, discovered in particle physics, it has been supposed that space-time M , where these phenomena evolve, may be ten dimensional with lorentzian signature: $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$. In order to obtain ordinary, four dimensional, space-time, the extra dimensions (> 4) are subsequently eliminated through “dimensional reduction”; that is by confining them in compact manifolds of very small, unobservable size. We will tentatively adopt this, by now almost universally adopted, hypothesis and start by dealing, in its framework, with the dynamics of the elementary constituents of matter, that is of fermions: the quanta of spinor fields (bosons may be represented bilinearly, in general, in terms of fermions).

Spinors are vectors of the automorphism space of Clifford algebras, now these happen to be narrowly correlated with the four existing division algebras: real and complex fields of numbers, quaternions and octonions, and, as we will see, it is through Clifford algebras and through the associated spinor spaces that division algebras manifest their role at the origin of the $SU(3) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$ groups of the standard model, at present the most appropriate to represent internal symmetry. We will show, in fact, that they derive from the complex division algebras. Precisely $U(1)$ from complex numbers, $SU(2)$ from quaternions, and $SU(3)$ from octonions.

In order to prove this correlation we will adopt the spinor geometry as formulated by its discoverer É. Cartan [1], who has specially stressed the great mathematical elegance of the spinors he named “simple” (renamed “pure” by C. Chevalley [2]). We will show in fact how the Cartan’s equation defining simple or pure spinors may be identified as the spinor field equations in the ten dimensional space-time M , after setting to zero the coordinates of the extra-dimensions (> 4). In this way most of the equations, adopted traditionally ad hoc by theoretical physics, in order to describe the behaviour of fermion multiplets, presenting internal symmetry, are naturally found. The fact that they may be obtained from the traditional, ten dimensional, approach after setting to zero the extra dimensions could mean that these are redundant, at least for some of the internal symmetry groups (like isospin $SU(2)$ and flavour $SU(3)$). In fact what appears in the spinor field equations are not the covering of rotation groups, like $SU(2)$ covering of $SO(3)$, but rather the Lie algebras ($su(2)$) represented by the generators of the Clifford algebra, meaning then that the corresponding symmetry is generated by reflection groups in spinor space. In this way the origin of the equations of motion can be identified in the Cartan’s equation defining spinor, and then internal symmetry may be directly correlated with the representation of Clifford algebra in terms of the division algebras. So, for example, isospin $SU(2)$ derives from the fact that $\mathbb{C}\ell(7,1) = H(8)$ where H stands for quaternions, $SU(3)$ -flavour from $\text{Spin}(9,1) \cong SL(2,o)$, where o stands for octonions, and the isomorphism is only meant restricted to the Lie algebra [3].

Several more properties of Clifford algebras and of associated spinors may plainly explain some problematic features of elementary particles, like the origin of strong and electro weak charges, and perhaps also of their relative values, as well as the correlation of the 3 families of leptons, with the 3 imaginary units of quaternions [4].

Perhaps the most remarkable feature of this approach is that, if one adopts the elegant geometry of simple or pure spinors, the vectors of momentum spaces (bilinear in spinors), where the spinor field equations are formulated, are null and then the corresponding spaces result compact, where then quantum field theory will result, a priori, free from ultraviolet divergencies. Furthermore, if we adopt the E. Cartan’s conjecture that the geometry

of simple or pure spinor might be conceived as the elementary constituent of euclidean geometry, a striking parallelism may emerge between geometry and physics, in so far while euclidean geometry is well appropriate to describe classical mechanics of macroscopic matter, the (quantum) mechanics of its elementary constituents; the fermions, will have to be described with the elementary constituent of euclidean geometry: the one of simple or pure spinors. In this framework it appears obvious not only why some elementary particle properties may already appear in Clifford algebras of which spinors build up the representation spaces, but also why euclidean geometry well appropriate to represent celestial mechanics may not be any more adopted for the description of the microscopic world of matter constituents where then the purely euclidean concept of point – event might have to be substituted by that of strings: continuous sums of null-vectors.

2 CLIFFORD ALGEBRAS, SPINORS AND CARTAN'S EQUATIONS

We will summarize here some elements of Clifford algebras and associated spinor geometry necessary for the following. For more on the subject see refs. [1, 2, 5].

2.1 Hints on Spinors

Given $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and the corresponding Clifford algebra $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$, generated by γ_a ; a spinor ψ is a 2^n -dimensional vector of the endomorphism space S of $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$: $\psi \in S$ and $\mathbb{Cl}(2n) = \text{End } S$.

The Cartan's equation defining ψ is:

$$z_a \gamma^a \psi = 0; \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, 2n \quad (2.1)$$

where $z \in V$, given $\psi \neq 0$ (implying $z_a z^a = 0$), defines the d -dimensional totally null, projective plane $T_d(\psi)$. For $d = n$ (maximal), ψ was named simple (by Cartan [1]) or pure (by Chevalley [2]), and, according to Cartan, it is isomorphic (up to a sign) to $T_n(\psi) := M(\psi)$.

Given $\mathbb{Cl}(2n) = \text{End } S$ and $\psi, \phi \in S$, we have [6]:

$$\phi \otimes B\psi = \sum_{j=0}^n F_j \quad (2.2)$$

where B is the main automorphism of $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$ [5] and:

$$F_j = [\gamma_{a_1} \gamma_{a_2} \cdots \gamma_{a_j}] T^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_j}, \quad (2.3)$$

in which the γ matrices are antisymmetrized and the emisymmetric tensor T is given by:

$$T_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_j} = \frac{1}{2^n} \langle B\psi, [\gamma_{a_1} \gamma_{a_2} \cdots \gamma_{a_j}] \phi \rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

Proposition 1: Take $\phi = \psi$ in (2.2), then ϕ is simple or pure iff:

$$F_0 = F_1 = \dots F_{n-1} = 0; \quad F_n \neq 0 \quad (2.5)$$

while eq.(2.2) becomes:

$$\phi \otimes B\phi = F_n \quad (2.6)$$

representing the maximal totally null, plane $M(\phi)$, to which, ϕ is isomorphic (up to a sign) [6].

For $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$ with generators $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_{2n}$ and with volume element (normalized to one) $\gamma_{2n+1} := \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_{2n}$ the spinors: $\psi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_{2n+1})\psi$ are named Weyl spinors, which are 2^{n-1} -dimensional vectors of the automorphism space of the non simple, even subalgebra $\mathbb{Cl}_0(2n)$ of the simple algebra $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$. Weyl spinors may be simple or pure, and they are all so for $n \leq 3$. For $n > 3$ the number of constraint equations given by eqs.(2.5) is 1, 10, 66, 364 for $n = 4, 5, 6, 7$, respectively.

2.2 Isomorphisms of Clifford Algebras and of Spinors

There are the isomorphisms of Clifford algebras:

$$\mathbb{Cl}(2n) \simeq \mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+1) \quad (2.7)$$

both central simple. The spinors associated with $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$ and $\mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+1)$ are named Dirac and Pauli spinors and are indicated with ψ_D and ψ_P respectively.

There are also the isomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{Cl}(2n+1) \simeq \mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+2) \quad (2.8)$$

both non simple. The spinors associated with $\mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+2)$ are named Weyl spinors and are indicated with ψ_W .

From the above we get the embeddings of Clifford algebras:

$$\mathbb{Cl}(2n) \simeq \mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Cl}(2n+1) \simeq \mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Cl}(2n+2) \quad (2.9)$$

and the corresponding ones for spinors:

$$\psi_D \simeq \psi_P \hookrightarrow \psi_P \oplus \psi_P \simeq \psi_W \oplus \psi_W \simeq \Psi_D \simeq \psi_D \oplus \psi_D \quad (2.10)$$

which implies that a Dirac or Pauli spinor is isomorphic to a doublet of Dirac, Pauli or Weyl spinors. These isomorphisms may be explicitly represented. In fact let γ_a be the generators of $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$ and Γ_A those of $\mathbb{Cl}(2n+2)$. Then we have:

$$\text{and for } \Gamma_a^{(j)} = \sigma_j \otimes \gamma_a : \Psi^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^{(j)} \\ \psi_2^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.11)$$

where σ_j are Pauli matrices for $j = 1, 2, 3$, while $\sigma_0 := 1_2$, and $\Psi^{(0)}$ is a doublet of Dirac spinors while $\Psi^{(j)}$ a doublet of Weyl ($j = 1, 2$) or Pauli ($j = 3$) spinors.

Now define the chiral projectors:

$$L := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_{2n+1}); \quad R := \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_{2n+1}), \quad (2.12)$$

there are the unitary transformations U_j :

$$U_j = 1 \otimes L + \sigma_j \otimes R = U_j^{-1}; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.13)$$

We have [6]:

Proposition 2: Dirac, Pauli, Weyl spinor doublets are isomorphic.

In fact:

$$U_j \Gamma_A^{(0)} U_j^{-1} = \Gamma_A^{(j)}; \quad U_j \Psi^{(0)} = \Psi^{(j)}; \quad A = 1, 2 \dots 2n+2; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.14)$$

Remark: The doublets $\Psi^{(j)}$ are geometrically isomorphic, because of eqs.(2.14), however physically inequivalent; in fact (massive) Weyl spinors, as here defined, may not represent free, stable particles, while Dirac and Pauli spinors may.

2.3 Cartan's Equations

Cartan's equations may be obtained if we multiply eq.(2.3) from the left by γ_a and from the right by $\gamma_a \phi$ and set it to zero after summing over a :

$$\gamma_a \phi \otimes B\psi \gamma^a \phi = z_a \gamma^a \phi = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$z_a = \frac{1}{2^n} \langle B\psi, \gamma_a \phi \rangle. \quad (2.16)$$

We have [6]:

Proposition 3: For arbitrary ψ in eq.(2.16), $z_a z^a = 0$ if and only if ϕ is simple or pure.

Following Cartan [1] we know that for $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$ only Weyl spinors, or semispinors, how he called them, may be simple. These are spinors with only 2^{n-1} non null components of the even subalgebra $\mathbb{Cl}_0(2n)$. Therefore the equations for the 2^n component simple or pure spinors ϕ may be only obtained from $\mathbb{Cl}(2n+2)$. Let us therefore consider $\mathbb{Cl}(2n+2) = \text{End } S$ with generators Γ_A and volume element Γ_{2n+3} and let Φ represent a 2^{n+1} component Dirac spinor. The Cartan's equation for simple or pure spinors may be written in the form of the Weyl equation for Φ :

$$z_A \Gamma^A (1 \pm \Gamma_{2n+3}) \Phi = 0, \quad A = 1, 2, \dots 2n+2 \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.18)$$

where $\phi_{\pm}$ are 2^n component Weyl spinors associated with $\mathbb{Cl}_0(2n+2)$. Adopting for Γ_A the representation:

$$\Gamma_a = \sigma_1 \otimes \gamma_a; \quad \Gamma_{2n+1} = i\sigma_1 \otimes \gamma_{2n+1}; \quad \Gamma_{2n+2} = i\sigma_2 \otimes 1, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots 2n \quad (2.19)$$

where γ_a and γ_{2n+1} are the generators and volume element of $\mathbb{Cl}(2n)$ eqs.(2.17) become:

$$(z_a \gamma^a + z_{2n+1} \gamma^{2n+1} \pm z_{2n+2}) \phi_{\pm} = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

where $\phi_{\pm}$ are simple or pure provided they satisfy the 1, 10, 66 constraint equations for $n = 3, 4, 5$ respectively.

The above (including Proposition 3) may be easily restricted to the real for pseudo-euclidean Clifford algebras $\mathbb{Cl}(1, 2n-1)$ of lorentzian signature of our interest. And we obtain [6]:

$$(p_a^\pm \gamma^a + ip_{2n+1}^\pm \gamma_{2n+1} \pm p_{2n+2}^\pm) \phi_\pm = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

where the real null vectors are obtained from (2.16) setting $B = \Gamma_0$; precisely:

$$p_A^\pm = \Phi^\dagger \Gamma_0 \Gamma_A (1 \pm \Gamma_{2n+3}) \Phi, \quad A = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2 \quad (2.22)$$

where $\Phi^\dagger$ means Φ hermitian conjugate.

For $\Phi \neq 0$ we must have:

$$p_A^\pm p_\pm^A = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

that is $p^\pm$ must be null or optical. Because of Proposition 3 eq.(2.23) is satisfied for $p_A^\pm$ bilinear in spinors and containing $\phi_\pm$ simple or pure. However, simplicity of $\phi_\pm$ is not a necessary condition for the existence of solutions of eq.(2.21), while eq.(2.23) is necessary.

Remark 1. For $p^\pm$ optical eq.(2.21) admits for $\phi_\pm$ non null solutions with components functions of $p_A^\pm$. One may also substitute the $p_A^\pm$ given in eq.(2.22) in eq.(2.21) by which this becomes a purely spinorial equation. However the spinors $\phi_\pm$ appearing in eq.(2.22) have to be generally different from the ones appearing in eq.(2.21).

Remark 2. Because of the isomorphism discussed in section 2.2, Φ in (2.19) could also be a doublet of Dirac or of Pauli spinors. Precisely there are four of them $\Phi^{(j)}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and they are isomorphic according to eq.(2.14). Correspondingly there are four equivalent equations of the type (2.20) and (2.21) for $\phi^{(j)}$ with the notation of section 2.2 where $j = 0, 3$ refers to Dirac and Pauli spinors respectively, while $j = 1, 2$ to Weyl ones.

3 THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR FERMION MULTIPLETS, THE $U(1)$ OF THE STRONG CHARGE

We will now apply the above formalism for spinors to the case of the ten dimensional space-time $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$, trying to derive the classical equations of motion (in first quantization) to be after quantised. For this purpose one generally starts from Lagrangians. However dealing with spinor the transition to the field equations is straightforward and then we may as well start directly from the equations of motion.

Let us then consider $\mathbb{Cl}(1, 9) = \text{End } S$ with generators $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ whose Dirac spinors $\Phi \in S$ have 32 components (they may also be Majorana since $\mathbb{Cl}(1, 9) = \mathbb{R}(32)$). As seen above we may obtain the Cartan's equations for the corresponding simple or pure spinors starting from $\mathbb{Cl}(1, 11) = \text{End } S$ with generators Γ_β , volume element Γ_{13} and 64 components Dirac spinor Ξ :

$$P_\beta \Gamma^\beta (1 \pm \Gamma_{13}) \Xi = 0, \quad \beta = 1, 2, \dots, 12 \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+ \\ \Phi_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

with $\Phi_{\pm}$ 32 component Weyl spinor. From (3.1) we obtain:

$$(P_{\alpha}\mathcal{G}^{\alpha} + iP_{11}\mathcal{G}_{11} + P_{12})\Phi = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 10 \quad (3.3)$$

(that is eq.(2.22) with $n = 5$) where we have taken (3.1) only with the + sign and then eliminated the super and subscripts from P and Φ .

Because of the isomorphisms discussed in section 2.2, we can consider the 32-component spinor Φ as a Dirac spinor of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 9)$. In fact we have only to take for the generators $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}$ and volume element $\mathcal{G}_{11}$ the representation:

$$\mathcal{G}_A = 1_2 \otimes G_A; \quad \mathcal{G}_{9,10,11} = i\sigma_{1,2,3} \otimes G_9, \quad A = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (3.4)$$

where G_A and G_9 are the generators and volume element of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 7)$ and:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

where Θ_1 and Θ_2 are 16 component Dirac spinors of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 7)$ and eq.(3.3) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_A G^A + iP_{11}G_9 + P_{12})\Theta_1 + i(P_9 - iP_{10})G_9\Theta_2 &= 0 \\ (P_A G^A - iP_{11}G_9 + P_{12})\Theta_2 + i(P_9 + iP_{10})G_9\Theta_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3')$$

Now defining:

$$(P_9 \pm iP_{10}) = \rho e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{P_9^2 + P_{10}^2}$, eq.(3.3') may be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_A G^A + iP_{11}G_9 + P_{12})e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}}\Theta_1 + i\rho G_9\Theta_2 &= 0 \\ (P_A G^A - iP_{11}G_9 + P_{12})\Theta_2 + i\rho G_9 e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}}\Theta_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3'')$$

which manifests the $U(1)$ invariance of the Θ_1 spinor with respect to a phase factor $e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}}$ corresponding to a rotation of an angle φ in the circle.

$$P_9^2 + P_{10}^2 = \rho^2 \quad (3.7)$$

generated in Φ spinor space by $\mathcal{G}_9\mathcal{G}_{10}$.

We will interpret it as the origin of the strong charge for the quadruplet of fermions represented by Θ_1 of which those represented by Θ_2 should then be free. Then it is natural to conjecture that $\Theta_1 := \Theta_B$ represents baryons and $\Theta_2 := \Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ leptons.

Before trying to define the equations of motion for Θ_B and $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ let us observe that in order to have non null solutions Φ for eq.(3.3) the vector P must be null:

$$P_{\beta}P^{\beta} = 0, \quad \beta = 1, 2, \dots, 12 \quad (3.8)$$

and if P_{β} are bilinear in Φ and if these are simple or pure this is identically guaranteed by Proposition 3. Now simplicity constraints for Φ implies 66 constraint equations which should be further studied. (They forbid most transitions between Θ_B and $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ and could then be at the origin of the stability of the proton [7].)

4 THE BARYONS Θ_B IN $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$

Let us now derive from eq.(3.3) the equation of motion for Θ_B . Reminding that Φ in eq.(3.3) is a $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,9)$ Dirac spinor we may, exploiting the known isomorphism, adopt the projector $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{G}_{11})$, and taking into account that:

$$P_{11} = \Phi^\dagger \mathcal{G}_0 \mathcal{G}_{11} (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi \equiv 0 \equiv \Phi^\dagger \mathcal{G}_0 (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = P_{12} \quad (4.1)$$

we easily obtain:

$$P_\alpha \mathcal{G}^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = (P_A G^A + i P_9 G^9 + P_{10}) \Theta_B = 0, \quad A = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (4.2)$$

where Θ_B may be conceived as $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,9)$ Weyl spinor or a $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,7)$ Dirac spinor, apt to represent a quadruplet of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,3)$ -Dirac spinors.

Observe that the 32 component spinor Φ obeying eq.(3.3) in $P = \mathbb{R}^{1,11}$ has been reduced to the 16 component spinor Θ_B obeying eq.(4.2) in $P = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$; it might be conceived as a form of “dimensional reduction” by which the dimension of momentum space are decreased of two and, correspondingly, from the equations of motion, two terms are eliminated: that is they are decoupled; therefore in this approach dimensional reduction consists in reducing to one half the dimension of spinor space while decoupling two terms from the equations of motion.

4.1 The equations in $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$

Let us now consider $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ and indicate with x_μ the first four coordinates of ordinary space-time and with $X_j, (j = 5, 6, \dots, 10)$ the other six.

Then eq.(4.2) may be considered as the Fourier transform of the spinor field equation in M :

$$\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} G^\mu + i \sum_{j=5}^{10} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} G^j \right) \Theta_B(x, X) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

where $G_{10} = 1$.

Let us now restrict it to ordinary space-time $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ by setting $X_j = 0$. Then, defining:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \Theta_B(x, X) \Big|_{X_j=0} := P_j(x) \Theta_B(x) \quad (4.4)$$

we obtain:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} G^\mu + \sum_{j=5}^9 P_j(x) G_j + P_{10}(x) \right] \Theta_B(x) = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

which identifies with (4.2) if P_μ are considered as generators of Poincaré translations: $P_\mu \rightarrow i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$ and then the Θ_B spinor and P_j , for $j > 5$, have to be considered x -dependent, following (2.22). In eq.(4.5) the terms with P_j may be conceived as representing interactions with external fields.

We may then conclude that the Cartan's equations for Θ_B apt to represent a quadruplet of fermions presenting a strong charge may be identified with those defined in the ten dimensional space-time $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ after restricting it to $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

Let us now remind that, as shown in chapter 3, that Θ_B presents a $U(1)$ invariance represented by $e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}}$ and from eqs.(3.6) and (4.5) we see that the phase angle φ results x -dependent, therefore to maintain the covariance we have to introduce in eq.(4.5) a covariant derivative with gauge potential $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ and the resulting equation (3.3) may be written in the form:

$$\left\{ \mathcal{G}^\mu \left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} + \frac{ig}{2} (1 - \mathcal{G}_9 \mathcal{G}_{10}) \mathcal{A}_\mu \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{12} \mathcal{G}_j P_j(x) \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_B \\ \Theta_{\mathcal{L}} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where g is a strong coupling constant.

Let us see which are the further physical information which can be directly read from eqs.(4.2) or (4.5). To admit non null solutions for Θ_B , the vector P has to be null¹:

$$P_\alpha P^\alpha = 0 \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 10 \quad (4.7)$$

which defines the invariant mass $\mathcal{M}$:

$$P_\mu P^\mu = P_5^2 + P_6^2 + P_7^2 + P_8^2 + P_9^2 + P_{10}^2 = \mathcal{M}^2 \quad (4.8)$$

in turn defining S^5 presenting an $SU(6)$ group of symmetry orthogonal to the Poincaré group, therefore the maximal internal symmetry for the quadruplet of fermions represented by Θ_B will be $SU(4)$, covering of $SU(6)$. Observe that dimensional reduction decouples the equations of motion and therefore the invariant mass $\mathcal{M}$ will be smaller for fermion doublets and singlets.

4.2 Flavour $SU(3)$

We know that spinors are vectors of the representation spaces of Clifford algebras and therefore we may expect that already in these we may read some of their properties. Now in this case: $\text{Spin}(1, 9) \cong SL(2, o)$ where o stands for octonions, and the isomorphism is only valid if restricted to the Lie algebra [3]. The automorphism group G_2 of octonions has a subgroup $SU(3)$ if one of its seven imaginary units is fixed, and this could explain the origin of the $SU(3)$ symmetry of flavour. In fact it may be shown [8] that some of the generators $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 9)$ may be expressed in terms of the seven imaginary units of octonions: e_1, e_2, e_3, e_7 and $\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3$, where $\hat{e}_n := e_n e_7$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Precisely, after defining $e_n := -i\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_n$ and $e_7 := i\sigma_3 \otimes 1_2$ [9] one may define:

$$\mathcal{G}_{6+n} := e_n \otimes \Gamma_7; \quad \mathcal{G}_{11} := ie_7 \otimes 1_8, \quad n = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.9)$$

The resulting algebra is non associative nevertheless it may be closed either redefining matrix multiplications [9] or redefining products of octonian units expressed in terms of Clifford algebra generators [8]; however, we do not need to deal here with this problem since we will only use the associative $SU(3)$ subalgebra of octonions. In fact it is known [10] that the complex octonions:

$$U_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm ie_7); \quad V_\pm^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}e_n(1 \pm ie_7), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.10)$$

¹If, in the framework of Cartan's geometry, we express P bilinearly in terms of Θ_B , eq.(4.7) is an identity, because of Proposition 3, if Θ_B is simple and it satisfies the ten constraint equations; which could indicate that, while these are geometrically relevant, they are not necessary conditions for the existence of physical fermions, which could be then represented also by non simple or pure spinors.

define an $SU(3)$ invariant algebra for which $V_+^{(n)}$ and $V_-^{(n)}$ transform as the (3) and $(\bar{3})$ representations of $SU(3)$ respectively, while U_+ and U_- as singlets. Therefore, writing eq.(4.2) in the form:

$$P_\alpha \mathcal{G}^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = \left(P_a \mathcal{G}^a + \sum_{n=1}^3 P_{6+n} \mathcal{G}_{6+n} + P_{10} \right) (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = 0, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, 6 \quad (4.11)$$

we may through (4.9) and (4.10) insert in it $U_+ = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{G}_{11})$ and $V_+^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{6+n}(1 + \mathcal{G}_{11})$:

$$P_\alpha \mathcal{G}^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = \left(P_a \mathcal{G}^a + \sum_{n=1}^3 P_{6+n} V_+^{(n)} + P_{10} \right) U_+ \Phi = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

which for

$$P_{6+n} = \Phi^\dagger \mathcal{G}_0 \mathcal{G}_{6+n} (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = \Phi^\dagger G_0 V_+^{(n)} \Phi \quad (4.13)$$

is $SU(3)$ covariant.

Now acting with $V_+^{(n)}$ on the Cartan's standard spinors (or on the vacuum of a Fock representation of spinor space [6]) one could represent the 3 spinors representing quarks and then the known 3×3 representation of the pseudo-octonions algebra [11] for baryons conceived as 3-quark states, which could represent $SU(3)$ flavour symmetry for baryons. Observe that the $\mathcal{G}_{6+n}$ from which $SU(3)$ derives are reflection operators in spinor space.

4.3 Color $SU(3)$

Complex octonions may be also defined with the first four generators $\mathcal{G}_\mu$ in eqs.(4.6) and (4.11). They are [8]:

$$V_\mu^{(0)} = \frac{-i}{2} \mathcal{G}_\mu^{(0)} (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}); \quad V_\mu^{(n)} = \frac{-i}{2} \mathcal{G}_\mu^{(n)} (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4.14)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_\mu^{(0)} = e_0 \otimes \Gamma_\mu$ where $e_0 = 1$ and $\mathcal{G}_\mu^{(n)} = e_n \otimes \Gamma_\mu = i\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_n \otimes \Gamma_\mu$ and the indices n refers to the isomorphism discussed in section 2.2. As before they transform as singlets and triplets for $SU(3)$. We have seen that Θ_B presents an $U(1)$ symmetry in form of a local phase factor which will impose a covariant derivative and eq.(4.12) takes the form [8]:

$$P_\alpha \mathcal{G}^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = \left[i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} - g A_{(n)}^\mu \right) V_\mu^{(n)} + P_5 \mathcal{G}_5 + P_6 \mathcal{G}_6 + \sum_{n=1}^3 P_{6+n} V_+^{(n)} + P_{10} \right] U_+ \Phi = 0, \quad (4.12')$$

where

$$A_\mu^{(n)} = \Phi^\dagger \mathcal{G}_0 \mathcal{G}_\mu^{(n)} (1 + \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = 2i \Phi^\dagger \mathcal{G}_0 V_\mu^{(n)} \Phi$$

and then, in the covariant derivative, an $SU(3)$ covariant term appears interpretable as $SU(3)$ -color. It could allow to correlate the three colors with the imaginary units of quaternions (Pauli matrices). On acting with $V_\mu^{(n)}$ on standard spinors one would generate colored quarks and the baryons as 3 colored quark states. If we impose that the physical fermions are represented by Dirac spinors then: $\mathcal{G}_\mu^{(0)} = e_0 \otimes \Gamma_\mu$ and if inserted in (4.12') they give $V_\mu^{(0)}$ which are $SU(3)$ -color singlets and the impossibility of physical colored baryons could be correlated with the fact that massive Weyl spinors may not represent free particles.

4.4 The nucleon doublet, isospin $SU(2)$ and the $U(1)$ of the electric charge

Let us now perform a further dimensional reduction. We will use the projector $\frac{1}{2}(1 + G_9)$ which will reduce the 16-component spinor Θ_B to an 8-component one N :

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + G_9)\Theta_B = N = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.15)$$

and correspondingly as easily verified:

$$P_9 = \Theta_B^\dagger G_0 G_9 (1 + G_9) \Theta_B \equiv 0 \equiv \Theta_B^\dagger G_0 (1 + G_9) \Theta_B = P_{10} \quad (4.16)$$

and the equation of motion for N will be:

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + G_9)\Theta_B = \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \Gamma^\mu + P_5 \Gamma_5 + P_6 \Gamma_6 + P_7 \Gamma_7 + P_8 \right) N = 0 \quad (4.17)$$

We will now suppose that ψ_1 and ψ_2 in (4.15) represent $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 3)$ Dirac spinor, by which we have to impose:

$$\Gamma_\mu^{(0)} = 1 \otimes \gamma_\mu; \quad \Gamma_{5,6,7}^{(0)} = -i\sigma_{1,2,3} \otimes \gamma_5 \quad (4.18)$$

and (4.17) becomes:

$$\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \gamma^\mu - i\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_5 + P_8 \right) \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = N^\dagger \Gamma_0 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_5 N \quad (4.20)$$

and where we have set $\psi_1 = p$ and $\psi_2 = n$ representing the proton and neutron respectively, since eq.(4.19) is well representing the $SU(2)$ -isospin covariant nucleon-pion equation of motion. Observe that the pseudoscalar nature of the pion isotriplet $\boldsymbol{\pi}(x)$ derives from having imposed that p and n are $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 3)$ -Dirac spinors because of which Γ_5, Γ_6 and Γ_7 in (4.18) have to contain γ_5 , in order to anticommute with Γ_μ .

Observe that isospin $SU(2)$ is generated by $\Gamma_5, \Gamma_6, \Gamma_7$ which are reflection operators in the spinor space spanned by N . It is not then the covering of $SO(3)$ in the space spanned by X_5, X_6, X_7 and it could not be otherwise, since, to obtain eq.(4.19) these coordinates have been set to zero. It derives instead from quaternions. In fact $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 7) = H(8)$ and consequently eq.(4.19) is manifestly quaternionic and then one may affirm that, according to this derivation, quaternions appear to be at the origin of the isospin symmetry of nuclear forces.

Let us now write explicitly (4.19) for p and n : defining $p_5 \pm ip_6 = \rho^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$, it may be easily brought to the form:

$$(P_\mu \gamma^\mu + iP_7 \gamma_5 + P_8) e^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} p - \rho \gamma_5 n = 0 \quad (4.21)$$

$$(P_\mu \gamma^\mu - ipP_7 \gamma_5 + P_8) n + \rho \gamma_5 e^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} p = 0$$

where ε is an angle of rotation in the circle

$$P_5^2 + P_6^2 = \rho^2 \quad (4.22)$$

generating the $U(1)$ phase invariance of the proton p represented by $e^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ and generated by $J_{56} = \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma_5, \Gamma_6]$.

Since $\varepsilon(x)$ is local it imposes a covariant derivative, and then an electric charge, e for the proton: in fact the resulting equation of motion for the doublet N is:

$$\left\{ \left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} - \frac{e}{2} (1 - \Gamma_5 \Gamma_6) A_\mu \right] \gamma^\mu - i \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \gamma_5 + P_8 \right\} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (4.23)$$

well representing the nuclear and electromagnetic properties of the nucleon. One may also represent in one quadruplet p, n , electron and neutrino and the electric charges of proton and electron result of opposite sign [7].

5 THE LEPTONS $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$

The equation for $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ will be:

$$p_\alpha \mathcal{G}^\alpha (1 - \mathcal{G}_{11}) \Phi = 0 \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 10 \quad (5.1)$$

from which we will derive the equation in $M^{1,3}$:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} G^\mu + \sum_{j=5}^9 p_j(x) G_j - p_{10}(x) \right] \Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

5.1 The equations of motion for $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the $U(1)$ for the electroweak charge

Let us now suppose $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ to have the form:

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{11} \\ \ell_{12} \\ \ell_{21} \\ \ell_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

where L_1, L_2 are $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 7)$ -Dirac spinors, and then take for the generators G_A and volume elements G_9 the representation:

$$G_a = 1 \otimes \Gamma_a; \quad G_{7,8,9} = i\sigma_{1,2,3} \otimes \Gamma_7 \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, 6 \quad (5.4)$$

where Γ_a, Γ_7 are generators and volume element of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 5)$, and we obtain, operating as above, for L_1 and L_2 the system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (p_a \Gamma^a + ip_9 \Gamma_7 - p_{10}) e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} L_1 + i\rho \Gamma_7 L_2 &= 0 \\ (p_a \Gamma^a - ip_9 \Gamma_7 - p_{10}) L_2 + i\rho \Gamma_7 e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} L_1 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where now τ is an angle of rotation on the circle

$$p_7^2 + p_8^2 = \rho^2$$

at the origin of the $U(1)$ phase invariance of L_1 in spinor space generated by $G_7 G_8$. We will suppose it at the origin of a charge (different from the strong one generated by $\mathcal{G}_9 \mathcal{G}_{10}$) for the lepton doublet L_1 from which instead L_2 should be free.

5.2 The $SU(2)_L$ phase invariance and the electroweak model

Let us suppose that the four leptons in $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ of eq.(5.3) may represent four free fermions in space-time $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$; they should then be either Dirac or Pauli spinors, and, for the representation of G_{μ} we have then four possible choices, diagonal in γ_{μ} : generators of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,3)$, precisely:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu}^{(0,0)} &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma_{\mu}; & G_{\mu}^{(0,3)} &= 1 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_{\mu}; \\ G_{\mu}^{(3,0)} &= \sigma_3 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma_{\mu}; & G_{\mu}^{(3,3)} &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Correspondingly we have four possible systems of equations for the doublets L_1 and L_2 . Let us take as an example $G_{\mu}^{(0,3)}$, then the other generators are:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{5,6}^{(0,3)} &= i\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_{1,2} \otimes 1_4; & G_7^{(0,3)} &= i\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_5; \\ G_8^{(0,3)} &= i\sigma_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \gamma_5; & G_9^{(0,3)} &= i\sigma_3 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \gamma_5 \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where γ_5 is the volume element of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,3)$, and the corresponding equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} (p_{\mu}\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma^{\mu} + p_9\gamma_5 - p_{10})L_1 + [i(p_5\sigma_1 + p_6\sigma_2 + p_7\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_5) + p_8\gamma_5]L_2 &= 0 \\ (p_{\mu}\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma^{\mu} - p_9\gamma_5 - p_{10})L_2 + [i(p_5\sigma_1 + p_6\sigma_2 + p_7\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_5) - p_8\gamma_5]L_1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

From the other G_{μ} in (5.6) we obtain three other systems which differ for the distribution of the γ_5 . Let us now define the projectors:

$$\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1 \otimes \gamma_5); \quad \mathcal{R} := \frac{1}{2}(1 - 1 \otimes \gamma_5)$$

and introduce the notation:

$$\mathcal{L}L_1 := L_{1L}; \quad \mathcal{R}L_1 := L_{1R}$$

and the same for L_2 .

We have $\gamma_5 L_{1L} = L_{1L}$ and $\gamma_5 L_{2L} = L_{2L}$. Applying $\mathcal{L}$ to (5.8), after defining $p_5\sigma_1 + p_6\sigma_2 + p_7\sigma_3 := \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu}\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma^{\mu}L_{1R} + (p_9 - p_{10})L_{1L} + (p_8 + \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})L_{2L} &= 0 \\ p_{\mu}\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma^{\mu}L_{2R} - (p_9 + p_{10})L_{2L} - (p_8 - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})L_{1L} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8')$$

Let us now define:

$$\left(p_8 - \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) = \rho e^{-\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \rho e^{\frac{q}{2}} \quad (5.9)$$

where $q = -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is an imaginary quaternion and $\rho = \sqrt{p_5^2 + p_6^2 + p_7^2 + p_8^2}$. Eq.(5.8') may be brought to the form:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{q}{2}}p_{\mu}\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma^{\mu}L_{1R} + (p_9 - p_{10})e^{\frac{q}{2}}L_{1L} + \rho L_{2L} &= 0 \\ p_{\mu}\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma^{\mu}L_{2R} - (p_9 + p_{10})L_{2L} + \rho e^{\frac{q}{2}}L_{1L} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.8'')$$

manifesting a quaternionic on $SU(2)_L$ phase invariance for L_{1L} , which being x dependent will induce a covariant derivative with a non abelian gauge interaction:

$$D_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} - i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{W}_\mu \quad (5.10)$$

for L_{1L} only and then the Dirac equation for $L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \nu_L \end{pmatrix}$ where e represents the electron and ν_L the left-handed neutrino is easily obtained to be:

$$\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \gamma^\mu + m \right) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \nu_L \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{W}_\mu \gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} e_L \\ \nu_L \end{pmatrix} + B_\mu \gamma^\mu e_R = 0 \quad (5.11)$$

where $\mathbf{W}_\mu$ is an isotriplet vector field and B_μ an isosinglet. Eq.(5.11) is notoriously the geometrical starting point of the electroweak model. It derives, like isospin, from the quaternion division algebra and it may be also obtained [7] from the isomorphisms discussed in section 2.2 (from which it also here effectively derives).

6 DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION AND FAMILIES FOR Θ_B AND $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$

Let us now return to the problem of dimensional reduction which, as mentioned in chapter 4, consists, in our approach, in reducing to one half the dimensions of spinor space, while decoupling two terms from the equations of motion. In section 4.4 we have adopted the projector $\frac{1}{2}(1 + G_9)$ to reduce the 16 component spinor Θ_B to the 8 component one N . Now the six first generators G_a of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 7)$ are traditionally set in the form:

$$G_a^{(j)} = \sigma_j \otimes \Gamma_a; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, 6 \quad (6.1)$$

where Γ_a are the generators of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 5)$ and $\sigma_0 = 1$. The above projector is obtained for $j = 1, 2$, therefore we will now indicate $\frac{1}{2}(1 + G_9)\Theta_B = \Psi^{(1,2)}$, and we have seen in eq.(4.16) that $P_9 \equiv 0 \equiv P_{10}$. Instead for $j = 0$ and $j = 3$ we obtain the projectors

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + iG_7G_8)\Theta_B = \Psi^{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 + iG_8G_9)\Theta_B = \Psi^{(3)} \quad (6.2)$$

respectively and, correspondingly:

$$P_7 = \Theta_B^\dagger G_0 G_7 (1 + iG_7 G_8) \Theta_B \equiv 0 \equiv \Theta_B^\dagger G_0 G_8 (1 + iG_7 G_8) = P_8 \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$P_8 = \Theta_B^\dagger G_0 G_8 (1 + iG_8 G_9) \Theta_B \equiv 0 \equiv \Theta_B^\dagger G_0 G_9 (1 + iG_8 G_9) = P_9$$

respectively and the corresponding so reduced 8 dimensional space, for Θ_B simple or pure (one constraint equation), is null defining then the invariant masses.

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu P^\mu &= P_5^2 + P_6^2 + P_7^2 + P_8^2 = M_{(1,2)}^2 \\ P_\mu P^\mu &= P_5^2 + P_6^2 + P_7^2 + P_{10}^2 = M_{(3)}^2 \\ P_\mu P^\mu &= P_5^2 + P_6^2 + P_9^2 + P_{10}^2 = M_{(0)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Because of the isomorphisms discussed in section 2.2 the three spinors and $\Psi^{(0)}, \Psi^{(3)}$ and $\Psi^{(1,2)}$ may represent doublets of fermions which, in the limit of absence of external, strongly interacting fields (the pion in eq.(4.19)), will obey the Dirac equations.

Their masses might be different, and, since we know that, in general, the characteristic energy of the phenomena increases with the dimension of the P -space we could expect $M_0 > M_3 > M_{(1,2)}$.

We can now repeat the same with the lepton quadruplet $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ and we will obtain three lepton doublets $L_1^{(1,2)}, L_1^{(3)}, L_1^{(0)}$ representing charged-neutral fermions of which the charged partners will obey to the Dirac equation since now the strong charge should be zero and then the strongly interacting fields, the pions, should be absent. If these are represented by p_5, p_6, p_7 (like in eq.(4.19)), then the corresponding invariant mass equations become:

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu p^\mu &= p_8^2 = m_{(1,2)}^2 \\ p_\mu p^\mu &= p_{10}^2 = m_{(3)}^2 \\ p_\mu p^\mu &= p_9^2 + p_{10}^2 = m_{(0)}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

and then, adopting the above hypothesis, we could conjecture that $L_1^{(1,2)}, L_1^{(3)}, L_1^{(0)}$ represent the electron-neutrino, muon-neutrino, and tau-neutrino doublets respectively.

We have then that the above geometrical structure foresees three families of leptons and the corresponding ones of baryons as discovered in nature. Their origin may be assigned to the quaternion division algebra; in fact it derives from the existence of the three projectors which in turn derive from the fact that the generators G_a may be set in the form (6.1) manifestly correlated with quaternions.

The possible quaternionic origin of three lepton families was also obtained by T. Dray and C. Monogue [4] from octonion division algebra correlated with $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 9)$.

7 THE CHARGELESS LEPTONS

If we act on $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\frac{1}{2}(1 - G_9)$ or with the other two possible projectors discussed in chapter 6 we will obtain three families of leptons $L_2^{(1,2)}, L_2^{(3)}, L_2^{(0)}$ which, however, from what is seen above, should be free from both strong and electroweak charges. Therefore we will need a further dimensional reduction. We will operate it through the projector $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \Gamma_7)$ and we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \Gamma_7)L_2 = \psi_{\pm} \tag{7.1}$$

and since

$$p_7 = L_2^\dagger \Gamma_0 \Gamma_7 (1 \pm \Gamma_7) L_2 \equiv 0 \equiv L_2^\dagger \Gamma_0 (1 \pm \Gamma_7) L_2 = p_8 \tag{7.2}$$

the equation will be:

$$(p_\mu \gamma^\mu + p_5 \gamma_5 \pm p_6) \psi_{\pm} = 0 \tag{7.3}$$

Now for ψ real $p_6 = \tilde{\psi} \psi \equiv 0$ and (7.3) reduces to:

$$(p_\mu \gamma^\mu + p_5 \gamma_5) \psi = 0 \tag{7.3'}$$

which is a candidate for the Majorana equation. A further dimensional reduction through $(1 \pm \gamma_5)$ reduces (7.3) to

$$p_\mu \gamma^\mu (1 \pm \gamma_5) \psi = 0 \quad (7.4)$$

since $p_5 \equiv 0 \equiv p_6$ that is the Weyl equation for massless neutrinos.

Then the final reduction brings us to Majorana and Weyl spinors. They then naturally appear as the most elementary form of fermions. As mentioned, there should be three families of them and they should be created at the Big Bang, and in a roughly computable fraction, as stable, missing known interactions, including electroweak ones and keeping only gravitational ones. Through gravitational interactions they could also have been created and accumulated during the life of the universe during high energy events connected with supernovae and black holes. Could they then contribute in furnishing an answer to the great problem of black matter?

It is remarkable that in this picture it is not Dirac's equation the basic equation for fermions, but rather Majorana and Weyl ones. One could then try to start from these the construction of both the fermion multiplets and of space-time or momentum space and in so doing also the lorentzian signature of Minkowski space-time (or of $\mathbb{C}\ell(1,3)$) appears to be unambiguously defined [7], once more, from quaternion division algebra of which in fact it results simply to be the image.

8 THE BOSON FIELD EQUATIONS

In our approach the boson fields appear at first as external fields as was the case for the electromagnetic potential A_μ and of the pion field π in eq.(4.23). However, since the boson fields here are bilinear in spinor fields also their equations of motion should be obtainable from the spinor field equation. In fact it was shown [7] that from Weyl eq.(7.4) it is possible to obtain for

$$F_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\psi}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu](1 \pm \gamma_5)\psi \quad (8.1)$$

the equations

$$p_\mu F_+^{\mu\nu} = 0; \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\tau} p^\nu F_-^{\rho\tau} = 0 \quad (8.2)$$

That is Maxwell's homogeneous equations, and also the non homogeneous ones [12].

Observe that in eq.(8.1) the electromagnetic field $F_{\pm}^{\mu\nu}$ is bilinear in the Weyl spinor fields, which does not imply that, in the quantized theory, the photon should be conceived as a bound state of neutrinos. In fact "the neutrino theory of light" is notoriously unviable [13].

In a similar way it is possible to obtain the equations of motion for the pion field $\pi(x)$, not necessarily as a bound state of proton-neutron [14]. It would be reasonable to expect the possibility to extend this to the other known boson fields.

9 THE ROLE OF SIMPLICITY

We have seen how the geometry of simple or pure spinors, if correlated with division algebras, seems to be appropriate to explain several features of elementary particle phenomenology, like the origin of charges, of families; the origin of internal symmetry groups:

of the standard model $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$, in the dynamical sector of the equations, and of isospin $SU(2)$, of favour $SU(3)$ up to a possible maximum of $SU(4)$ all deriving from reflections, in the rest of the equations. For these matters the simplicity constraint-equations, in number 1,10,66 for the 8,16,32-component spinors we dealt with, do not seem to play a critical role.

Simplicity, through Proposition 3 in chapter 2, mainly imposes the nullness of the momentum-spaces bilinearly constructed with those spinors. This in turn implies that: to the embedding of 4-dimensional spinor spaces in 8-16- and 32-dimensional ones there correspond the parallel embedding of the corresponding 4-dimensional vector spaces in 6-,8- and 10-dimensional ones, which, being null define compact manifolds (spheres) imbedded in each other, where the concept of infinity is absent, each space being isomorphic (up to a sign), as discovered by É. Cartan, to the spinors themselves building up geometrical structures of great simplicity and elegance. This was presumably the reason why É. Cartan named them “simple”, despite the apparent complication of the constraint equations.

Because of this one might expect that simplicity, while not determinant for some features of phenomenology - where one could then expect to have also to deal with non simple or generalized pure spinors [15] - determines instead rigorously the underlying projective geometry and then may have deep meanings helpful for the solution of some as yet open problems.

We will try to list and discuss preliminarily some of these problems and tentatively guess some possible answers suggested by that simple geometry.

9.1 The masses

If momentum spaces are null or optical they define invariant masses spheres like eq.(4.8). Before discussing their role in physics we need to remind that the terms appearing in these equations are representing external boson-field interactions and we need then first to determine the equations of motion for these boson fields. Furthermore, those terms may contain multiplicative coupling constants, like P_5, P_6, P_7 in eq.(4.17) which should contain, as a factor, the pion-nucleon coupling constants. Therefore this problem is correlated with the one of determining the values of the coupling constants and charges, after which it might present aspects of great relevance for physics.

9.2 The charges

The values of the charges are traditionally taken from the experimental data and as such inserted by hand in the equations of motion. In the present approach instead, in which the equations of motions are unambiguously derived from spinor geometry in momentum space, which in turn appears to consist in spheres imbedded in each other, one should expect that everything should be unambiguously defined including coupling constants and nothing but boundary conditions, should be left to be added by hand. One suggestion that this might be true and realizable comes from the old Fock equation [16] for the hydrogen atom written precisely in the sphere S_3 of momentum space which may be set in the following adimensional form:

$$\psi(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{V(S_3)} \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \frac{mc}{p_0} \int_{S_3} \frac{\psi(\mathbf{u}')}{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}')^2} d^3\mathbf{u}' \quad (9.1)$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is a unit vector of S_3 , p_0 a unit of momentum, m the mass of the electron, and where also the electric coupling constant e appears inserted in the adimensional fine

structure constant $\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\hbar c}{e^2} = 137,036 \dots$. Eq.(9.1) manifests the $SO(4)$ covariance induced in the two body system by the Coulombian potential and is obtained by Fock through a harmonic analysis on $B_3 \rightarrow S_3$:

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{V(S_3)} \int_{S_3} G(x, \mathbf{u}') h(\mathbf{u}') d^3 \mathbf{u}' \quad (9.2)$$

where $x \in B_3$, $G(x, \mathbf{u}')$ is a Green function and $h(x)$ is harmonic in B_3 . Then for $h(x) = r^{n-1} \psi_n(\mathbf{u})$, spherical harmonic on S_3 eq.(9.1) is obtained representing the H-atom eigenfunctions and energy levels for stationary states (and could be also extended to the scattering states of the continuous spectrum).

It was then conjectured² that also the factor in front of eq.(9.1); that is α , could be obtained from a harmonic analysis from the five dimensional classical domain of the IV type $D = Q_5$ to $D' = e^{i\varphi} S_4$ obtaining:

$$h(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{V(S_3)} \frac{2\pi J}{V(S_4)V(Q_5)} \int_{S_3} \frac{h(\mathbf{u}')}{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}')^2} d^3 \mathbf{u}' \quad (9.3)$$

where J derives from the integration of a Jacobian.

We have seen that in our approach simplicity defines the compact domains imbedded in each other: they are spheres, and since in case the strong charge g of the baryon Θ_B is defined, as shown in chapter 3, from $P_\beta \Gamma^\beta (1 \pm \Gamma_{13}) \Xi = 0$ and following ones for $\mathbb{C}\ell(1, 11)$, one can repeat the above derivation to find:

$$h(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{V(S_3)} \frac{2\pi J'}{V(S_{11})V(B_{12})} \int_{S_3} \frac{h(\mathbf{u}')}{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}')^2} d^3 \mathbf{u}' \quad (9.4)$$

where now one could interpret the factor in front of the integral as proportional to $g^2/\hbar c$ where g is the strong charge (also two body strong forces are Coulombian for high momenta or small distances). Comparison of eq.(9.4) with eq.(9.3) allows then to estimate the relative values of the electric and strong charges in our approach. In fact if we set in a first approximation $J' \simeq J$ we obtain

$$g \simeq 10e \quad (9.5)$$

in good approximation with the known experimental values. Even if this is only a preliminary indication, one could expect to find that the strong charge g is larger than the electric charge simply because the volumes of the unit spheres decrease after a certain dimension (since $V(B_{2k+2})/V(B_{2k}) = \pi/(k+1)$ which is < 1 for $k > 2$).

From this preliminary indication we have then that, for purely geometrical reasons, both masses and charges of fermions should increase with the dimensions of the multiplets as in fact it appears in the empirical world. This will be further analyzed elsewhere.

9.3 The constraint equations

While determining underlying geometry, constraint equations might also have some direct physical meanings which deserve further study. One is certainly triality, arising from the one constraint equation for 8-component spinors, which could have a role in supersymmetry. Another is the role of the ten constraint equations for 16-component spinors manifest in the covariant quantization of super strings, even if at the ghost stage [17].

²In a paper in progress with P. Nurowski.

But also the sixty-six constraint equations for 32-component spinors correlating the baryon quadruplet Θ_B with the lepton one $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ could perhaps solve the problem of the often predicted and never found lifetime of the proton, imposing its stability [7].

9.4 Laws and phenomena

The equations of motion like the ones discussed in chapter 4:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} G^\mu + \sum_{j=5}^{2n-1} P_j(x) G_j + P_{2n}(x) \right] \Psi(x) = 0 \quad (9.6)$$

represent the evolution of the wavefunction Ψ of a system in space-time $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. For given boundary conditions a solution $\Psi(x)$ represents the evolution of a particular phenomenon of that system. If that solution is inserted in eq.(9.6) this becomes an identity for all values of x ; meaning the validity of the evolution of that phenomenon for the whole space and for all times.

One can write the equation in the equivalent Cartan's form:

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} P_j G^j + P_{2n} \right) \Psi(P) = 0 \quad (9.7)$$

and then the existence of non null solutions implies the condition

$$P_\alpha P^\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 2n \quad (9.8)$$

Now setting:

$$P_\alpha = \tilde{\Psi}' G_\alpha \Psi' \quad (9.9)$$

and assuming Ψ' simple or pure eq.(9.8) is satisfied because of Proposition 3, and then there are solutions of eq.(9.7) of the form:

$$\Psi = \Psi(\Psi') \quad (9.10)$$

which, if inserted in eq.(9.7), renders it an identity in spinor space, meaning the spinorial origin, outside of space-time, of the law represented by the equation. The Cartan's equations for simple spinors seem to be the only ones to present this double possibility of becoming identities: both in space-time and in spinor space and might present interesting epistemological consequences which will be analyzed elsewhere.

There are more aspects of theoretical physics in which simple spinors might play a role, specially, those concerned with null or optical vectors, like strings and superstrings, which may be conceived as continuous sums, or integrals, of null vectors, where they could help us to understand also the deep reason why, for describing elementary physical phenomena, the euclidean elementary concept of point-event has to be rather substituted by that of string which may be correlated with the É. Cartan's hypothesis of simple spinor geometry as underlying euclidean geometry [1], [7].

Concluding we may affirm that simple spinors are both elegant and rich of possibilities for the purely geometrical interpretation of the elementary physical world. We have here merely hinted to some of them. The resulting panorama, seems to us however, even at this preliminary stage, to present deep and fascinating aspects deserving further study.

References

- [1] É. Cartan, “Lecons sur la theorie des spineurs”, Hermann, Paris (1937).
- [2] C. Chevalley, “The Algebraic Theory of Spinors”, Columbia U.P., New York (1954).
- [3] A. Sudbery, Journ. Phys., **A17**, 939 (1987).
- [4] T. Dray and C.A. Manogue, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14**, 93 (1999).
- [5] P. Budinich and A. Trautman, “The Spinorial Chessboard”, Springer, New York (1989).
- [6] P. Budinich and A. Trautman, J. Math. Phys. **30**, 2125 (1989).
- [7] P. Budinich, “From the Geometry of Pure Spinors with Their Division Algebra to Fermion’s Physics”, [hep-th/0107158](#), 19 July 2001; to be published in Found. Phys.
- [8] P. Budinich, “On Fermions in Compact Momentum Spaces Bilinearly Constructed with Pure Spinors”, [hep-th/0102049](#), 9 February (2001).
- [9] J. Daboul and R. Delbourgo, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 8, 4134 (1999).
- [10] F. Gürsey and C.H. Tze, “On the Role of Division, Jordan, and Related Algebras in Particle Physics”, World Scientific, Singapore (1996).
- [11] *S. Okubo*, “Introduction to Octonion and other Non-Associative Algebras in Physics”, p.40, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [12] D. Hestenes, “Space-Time Algebra”, Gordon Breach, New York (1987).
- [13] J.D. Bjorken, Ann. of Phys. **24**, 173 (1963);
W.A. Perkins, “Lorentz, CPT and Neutrinos”, *World Scientific*, Singapore (2000);
K. Just, K. Kwong and Z. Oziewicz, [hep-th/005263](#), 27 May (2000).
- [14] E. Fermi and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **76**, 1739 (1949).
- [15] A. Trautman and K. Trautman, J. Geom. Phys. **15**, 122 (1994).
- [16] V. Fock, Zeitsch. f. Phys. **98**, 145 (1935).
- [17] M. Matone, L. Mazzucato, I. Oda, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “The superembedding Origin of the Berkovitz Pure Spinor Covariant Quantization of Superstrings”, [hep-th/0206104](#), 12 June 2002.